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**LIAISON NOTE**

**Questionnaire on the Use of Recommendation E-111 on Port Traffic Signals**

1. **Introduction**

The ENG Committee is currently tasked with updating Recommendation E-111 on Port Traffic Signals. However, during initial discussions on the subject, it is apparent that some members have difficulty in using the port traffic signal codes described in the Recommendation due to localised requirements, such as wide sector widths and/or long luminous ranges. As such, some ports have developed their own means of providing Port Traffic Signals that do not strictly comply with the existing Recommendation. It was not apparent whether these examples are isolated cases, or is a representation of a wider issue in the industry.

In order to assist the ENG Committee, the ARM Committee was requested to advise the ENG Committee of whether their members are applying the Recommendation E-111 in their ports, or whether there are difficulties in implementing it.

A questionnaire was compiled to assist in ensuring that the update to the Recommendation E-111 meets the needs of the members.

1. **Details Questionnaire**

The Questionnaire aims to obtain information on the use of Traffic Control Signals to assist in ensuring that the update to the Recommendation E-111 meets the needs of the members.

1. **Action requested**

The IALA Secretariat is requested to:

* 1. Convert the attached questionnaire to a Survey Monkey format;
  2. Include an appropriate due date for responses to be received;
  3. Send the questionnaire to all IALA National, Associate and Industrial Members;
  4. Collate the responses in order for an input paper to ARM9 to be compiled, Gaelle Nassif and James Collocott will coordinate this for ARM9 input.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Use of Recommendation E-111 on Port Traffic Signals

# Introduction

The ENG Committee is currently tasked with updating Recommendation E-111 on Port Traffic Signals. However, during initial discussions on the subject, it is apparent that some members have difficulty in using the port traffic signal codes described in the Recommendation due to localised requirements, such as wide sector widths and/or long luminous ranges. As such, some ports have developed their own means of providing Port Traffic Signals that do not strictly comply with the existing Recommendation. It was not apparent whether these examples are isolated cases, or is a representation of a wider issue in the industry.

In order to assist the ENG Committee, the ARM Committee was requested to advise the ENG Committee of whether their members are applying the Recommendation E-111 in their ports, or whether there are difficulties in implementing it.

It would therefore be appreciated if members could complete the following questionnaire to assist in ensuring that the update to the Recommendation E-111 meets the needs of the members.

# Detail of responder

* 1. Name of your Organisation:
  2. Type of Organisation (indicate by an “X” below)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| AtoN Competent Authority | AtoN Service Provider |
|  |  |

# Questions

* 1. Are Port Traffic Signals being used in ports / harbours in your country? (indicate by an “X” below)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Yes | No |
|  |  |

* 1. If Recommendation E-111 is being applied in the ports / harbours:
     1. Are both the main and auxiliary messages being used, or only the main message?
     2. Do you have any difficulty in applying Recommendation E-111?
     3. What are the nature of difficulties being experienced?
     4. How do you overcome the difficulties?
     5. How could Recommendation E-111 be amended to make it more practical to implement?
  2. If Port Traffic Signals are being used in your country, but Recommendation E-111 is not being applied;
     1. Why is Recommendation E-111 not being applied?
     2. Do you have a standardised system in use for Traffic Control Signals?
     3. What system is being used as Traffic Control Signals and why did you choose it?
     4. How could Recommendation E-111 be amended to make it more practical to implement?

Due date for responses:

Responses to be sent to: